



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/838,740	04/19/2001	Mark Weinzierl	107870.00026	9331
75	590 03/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Robrt C. Klinger			CASIANO, ANGEL L	
Jackson Walker Suite 600	r L.L.P.		ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER
2435 North Center Expressway Richardson, TX 75080			2182	9
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•		PRE			
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Advisory Action	09/838,740	WEINZIERL ET AL.				
	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Angel L. Casiano	2182				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
There final r condit	REPLY FILED 02 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE 1 fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	ication. A proper replich places the application	oly to a cation in			
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•					
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moves.	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distanc	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Solution. 136(a) and the appropriate extensions of the inal office action; or	see MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
earned	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. 🖾	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	re newly			
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
	Claim(s) allowed:			,			
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 22-25</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21.						
8.							
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
	Other:	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
· • · □							
	JEFEREY (CAFFIN ///	001				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2150
Advisory Action

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/838,740

~

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to cite "a first device adapted to wirelessly communicate with a second device via at least a first communication device or a second communication device". Independent claims 14, 20, 22 and 23 have been amended to include similar limitations. Examiner respectfully submits that these added limitations raise new issues that would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Accordingly, Examiner respectfully maintains his position as stated in previous Office action. As exposed in the Final Office action, Holshouser teaches or suggests all the limitations corresponding to claims 1-10, 13 and 23-25. In particular, the cited art teaches a system providing a wireless day planner including first and second communication devices. The combination of prior art of Holshouser in view of Wang et al. teaches or suggests all the limitations corresponding to claims 11-12 and 22 (see previous Office action).